

HUMAN EVENTS

Vol. XIII, No. 39

Saturday, September 29, 1956

Washington, D. C.

News Section

Printed in Two Sections

How Labor Wins: When the GOP really gets to the bottom of the mystery of why it lost in the Maine elections, it will undoubtedly discover that the labor crowd has very cleverly stacked the cards in the business of "getting out the vote." Revelation of the methods used by the AFL-CIO combine has come to HUMAN EVENTS from sources in an excellent position to know the machinery of vote solicitation. These sources are concerned at the damage suffered by the GOP and the public in general as a result of labor union exploitation of quite well-meaning bipartisan "get out the vote" drives.

The ingenious scheme by which the labor union crowd exploits these drives works this way: labor union organizations compile lists of "potential voters," by combing the city directories and by comparing these lists with lists of registered voters. Then the laborites go through the lists and cross out all names of the following: professional men and women, business executives, salesmen, supervisors, foremen, etc. (in short, all persons unlikely to be susceptible to union labor propaganda, or inclined to vote Democratic).

Such culled lists are useful, of course, in that labor bloc groups can concentrate on the most likely voter material in their bell-pushing activities, and in their mail distribution of leaflets, etc. But the most important advantage lies in the fact that these "edited lists" are handed over to thoroughly impartial and public-spirited groups like foundations, the Boy Scouts, and even to Republican "get out the vote" committees. And these groups in their commendable efforts to prod the reluctant potential voter therefore fail to reach effectively whole classes of people who, if they voted, would cast their ballots for conservatives. This situation, according to our sources, undoubtedly assisted the Democrats in their victory in Maine, especially in the industrial districts of that state, and will pre-

sumably exert a great influence on the national results in November.

In highly industrialized Pennsylvania, where union labor has been working intensively, private business interests have recently (in the past two weeks) conducted a poll concerning the contending candidates. The results: Eisenhower would get 50.625 per cent of the vote today (GOP Senator Duff would get only 46 per cent). Ike's showing in this poll contrasts with his capture of 52.9 per cent of the total popular vote in this state in 1952. Knowledge of this and other warning signals have undoubtedly prompted him to step up his campaigning.

Suez Crisis: Pentagon circles appear alarmed over increasing belligerence of Israeli forces against the Arabs (note attack on Jordan forces this week). Our official observers fear that this action, plus sale of Canadian jet fighters to Israel (announced Tuesday), signifies that the British and French are egging on the Israeli high command to mount an attack, in effect a preventive war, on Egypt. However, State Department circles, while not denying the force of this analysis, discount any serious hostilities until November (after the U.S. elections).

ADA Republicans: The experience of New York "liberal Republicans" who fondly thought they had influence within the state organization of the ultra-leftist Americans for Democratic Action (ADA), say impartial observers, could well be taken to heart as a lesson in futility by like-minded "liberal Republicans" in other areas.

ADA contends it is liberal but completely non-partisan. The unbiased consensus is that it seeks to control the Democratic party nationally, and

locally wherever possible; and that the only time it supports another party's candidate is in a rare instance such as a race pitting a conservative Democrat against a liberal Republican.

Supporters of GOP nominee Jacob Javits in his race against Mayor Robert Wagner for the United States Senate sought to persuade this week's state ADA convention to refrain from endorsing either, on the grounds of Javits' ultra-liberalism. The convention vote's outcome: endorse Wagner, 102; no endorsement, 63; endorse Javits, 2.

This, say observers, is typical of what can be expected of any ADA unit in any showdown action. This one had endorsed Javits in his successful race for State Attorney General two years ago because of circumstances peculiar to that race, and had managed to "con" several prominent but leftist GOP adherents into maintaining membership; but come an important close contest, as the Wagner-Javits Senatorial contest undoubtedly is, and ADA displays its true colors.

Two prominent New York Republicans resigned from ADA in protest, publicly stating their disillusioned discovery that it is not non-partisan. Naive Republicans in other parts of the country, still regarding ADA as non-partisan, experienced politicians say, should take note.

Rank-and-file Republicans in New York continue to give a frosty reception to Javits' candidacy for the Senate seat. They recall the statement of Dorothy Schiff, publisher of the *New York Post*, in that paper on October 29, 1954. Mrs. Schiff, a power in the Democratic party, said: "Jack [Javits] told me he could never be a Democrat, but he might do a Wayne Morse and become an independent."

Stevenson and the Polish Vote: George F. Kennan, who headed the State Department's Policy Planning Staff after World War II and who is credited with devising America's "containment" policy (which produced the Korean War), now finds himself a distinct political liability to the Democrats. In a speech last May, Kennan said that "there is a finality, for better or worse, about what has occurred in Eastern Europe." News re-

ports at that time tagged Kennan as a Stevenson adviser, causing Rep. Thaddeus Machrowicz (D., Mich.) to write Adlai that, unless he repudiated Kennan, he "would lose . . . the vast majority of votes of Americans of Polish descent." Adlai replied that "So far as Mr. Kennan is concerned, I can only say that he is in no way connected with my staff and never has been."

Reports persist, however, that Kennan is still very much in Stevenson's camp and slated to play a big role if Adlai is elected. Democrats stick to the denial given Machrowicz. Opinion here is that Kennan is exiled until the elections because of his vote-repelling frankness. He merely states bluntly, Washington agrees, views that Stevenson himself accepts but veils in double talk. Those who believe this point to Thomas K. Finletter, an Adlai-adviser touted for Secretary of State in a Stevenson administration. While he has steered clear of Kennan's talk of "finality," Finletter has written that the "rollback" of Communist power in Eastern Europe cannot be a "precept" of American policy; it is something we would like to see happen, but will do nothing to bring about. Opinion here is that if Finletter's views, which yield results identical to Kennan's, were spread around, another member of the Stevenson camp would have to go into temporary exile.

States' Rights Ticket: Political observers here estimate that the T. Coleman Andrews' (or States' Rights) ticket may well attract sufficient followers in some states to tip the scales one way or another in November. In the North, it could deduct enough strength from the GOP to enable Democrats to profit; in the South, vice versa. Observers are also agreed that Andrews, by such statements as the following, voices the discontent of many voters who feel "disfranchised" by the policies of the two major parties:

"They [citizens] want relief from unbridled spending and confiscatory taxes. They want their children and grandchildren to have opportunities to achieve their fondest ambitions, unfettered by oppressive taxation and unhampered by bureaucratic interference. They want an end to being taxed to pay for supplying foreign governments with things that the people of those governments

themselves should be paying taxes to provide. They want an end to being taxed to pay for friendship with foreign nations and people that is seldom forthcoming. They want an end to the foreign giveaways that are buying disaster instead of defense.

"They want an end to war-breeding internationalism. They want no part of world government. They are tired of fighting other nations' battles. They don't want their sons ordered out by any foreign command to fight any nation's wars. They want an end to international agreements that put their jobs and the jobs of millions of their fellow Americans at the will of foreign eggheads. They want an end to encroachment upon the rights of states by all three branches of the Federal Government. They want restoration of those rights of their states that the Federal Government has already usurped. In short, they want the Federal Government to respect the Constitution and mind its own business at home and abroad."

Reuther And The Farmers: GOP strategists are debating whether to make a Taft-like all-out drive against "Reutherism," or whether to follow the advice of Secretary of Labor Mitchell and most of the Palace Guard: to be "soft" on the subject of union bosses — not to attack any of them by name or even by indirection. "We must win the labor leaders to our side," says the Mitchell-Palace Guard group — even though, it is pointed out, they should know that the only way to get the support of the labor bosses is to let them take over the party, as they have taken over the Democrats.

One of the facts mentioned by the strategy staff minority which is advocating "the Taft policy" is the vulnerability of the Democratic Congressmen in the big cities where the Democrats have nearly all their Northern strength. Among other things, the Democratic Congressmen almost without exception voted for rigid farm supports. This program means not only higher food bills to city consumers but also mountainous surpluses, thus adding heavily to taxes.

Despite this fact, rigid high supports received the vote of: all of six Democratic Congressmen from Detroit; 13 of the 16 Democrats from New York City; eight out of nine Democrats — including Negro Congressman Dawson — in Cook County

(Chicago); all of the four Democrats in Los Angeles county; all of the five Democrats from Philadelphia; both of the two Democrats from Pittsburgh.

The union leaders who give the orders to big city Congressmen to vote for rigid farm supports are led by Walter Reuther, who once said he has no desire to be the kind of labor leader who thinks in terms of "getting a nickel or a dime an hour more for his members." His goal is to regiment the millions of union members for the purpose of "reconstructing the social system." Michigan is Reuther's guinea pig state. Over a network of 20-odd radio stations, his commentators daily tell the farmers that the GOP is their enemy and that the AFL-CIO is their friend.

Tale Of Two Cities: While the "Louisville Plan" for desegregation has been acclaimed across the nation, a House subcommittee has been digging into the history of integration in another "border" city — Washington, D. C. The investigators have unearthed facts indicating that, because of poor performance by Negro students, desegregation in the Capital is working badly. In one class, for instance, less than 25 per cent (9 of 38) of the white children failed, while almost 50 per cent (54 of 114) of the Negro children failed.

The flexibility and gradualism of Louisville's approach, it is said, may produce better results. But observers familiar with the city say that Louisville cannot serve as an example for other cities in the South. They point to these facts: rapidly industrializing Louisville has drawn in management officials from the North and workers from Southern Indiana, as well as native Kentuckians from the countryside. These elements reinforce Louisville's historic "border" attitudes. A slave state, Kentucky did not secede from the Union. Its population, according to the 1950 census, is less than seven per cent Negro. And while racial feeling runs high in "unenlightened" areas, there are fewer Negroes in the entire state than there are in the city of Washington.

In Louisville itself, where the Negro community constitutes 15 per cent of the population, the unopposed influence of the *Courier-Journal* is thrown into the scales in favor of integration; thus re-

sponsible segregationists have no means of mobilizing sentiment—a situation that exists in few Southern states. Even under these favorable conditions, however, transfers of white students from predominantly Negro schools are many. When they are completed, observers say, Louisville's "Southern half" will have successfully resisted integration—in its own way.

Red Recommendation: The *Daily Worker*, the Communist party's New York tabloid newspaper, publicizes only those entertainment features (stage, cinema, radio-TV) which it feels reasonably sure will present Red ideologies in a favorable light, unless it is a critique explaining just exactly why something should be shunned by all followers of the party line.

Edward R. Murrow's "See It Now" television program over the Columbia Broadcasting System returns to the air waves next week after a summer vacation. The program in the past frequently has

been widely criticized as being heavily slanted toward liberalism in its presentation of controversial issues.

The *Daily Worker* one day this week devotes a full half-column to telling its readers (in Red parlance, telling in the *Daily Worker* is equivalent to orders) that the Murrow show returns with an hour-long program on the Suez crisis. The story does not specifically praise the commentator or his program, but its language makes clear that the Red editors have no doubt it will present Nasser and his actions (all taken with Moscow's advice and approval) in a favorable light.

A 13-Week Trial subscription to John T. Flynn's broadcast reprints and a bonus copy of *A Handbook for Action Against Communism*, may be obtained for \$1 from America's Future, Inc., 542 Main Street, New Rochelle, New York. The 44-page booklet contains five outstanding articles from *The American Mercury*: three by J. B. Matthews, one by John T. Flynn, and one by J. Edgar Hoover.

Letter from MRS. DURIVE TROYACK

One of the leading fighters for conservative causes in Illinois

"Our first subscription to HUMAN EVENTS was sent us by one of today's greatest Americans, Mr. Pierre Goodrich. That was in '47, and he still sends us this gift subscription every year. For years previously, I had been so saturated with New Deal literature that the first issue I read startled me. It took three years before I had read enough to undo the damage of all the years of propaganda. I wish HUMAN EVENTS could be sent to every family in this country."

Companies and individuals, now making up their Christmas gift lists, should give consideration to HUMAN EVENTS—the gift that inspires a special thrill of appreciation 52 times a year.

Two or more 3-month subscriptions, \$2.50 each; two or more 6-month subscriptions, \$5; two or more annual subscriptions, \$9 each.

A gift card, in your name, will accompany the first issue of the gift subscription. To make sure the card is properly inscribed, please indicate just how the inscription should read. A \$60 order entitles you to a free bound and indexed volume of HUMAN EVENTS for 1956 (regular price \$15). Unless otherwise stated, the first issue—with your gift card—will be the December 15 issue reaching subscribers the week before Christmas.

FRANK C. HANIGHEN, *Editor and Publisher*

Contributing Editors: FRANK CHODOROV, M. STANTON EVANS

JAMES L. WICK, *Executive Publisher*

HUMAN EVENTS, 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., is a weekly newsletter reporting from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. Published usually in two sections: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article section. Entered as second class matter at Washington, D. C. Copyright 1956.

TRIAL SUBSCRIPTION to HUMAN EVENTS for new subscribers only: 5 weeks for \$1. Yearly rate, \$10; 6 months, \$6; 3 months, \$3. By first class mail, \$12 a year; by airmail (including APO and FPO) \$14 a year. **FOREIGN:** by regular mail, \$13 a year; airmail, \$18. Allow us 2 weeks to process new subscriptions and change of address. 1955 Bound Annual Volume with complete Index \$15. All Bound Volumes for years prior to 1955 have been sold. The Declaration of Independence opens: "When in the course of HUMAN EVENTS . . ."

Article Section II

A CHINESE STUDENT MAILED A BOOK; It Saved China From Communism For 25 Years

By JAMES L. WICK

Preface

You want to help in the fight for freedom.

But you remind yourself: "I am a nobody. What can I do?"

YOU may be able to sway the world!

One person did — and all he did was to drop into a mailbox a book addressed: "To Sun Yat-sen, Canton, China."

That person, a Chinese student who dropped in upon the author and asked for a copy of the book, probably died without ever learning the dramatic consequences of his act.

The book he mailed was written by a New York dentist whose name you have never heard. Fewer than 1,000 copies were ever sold in the United States.

Nevertheless, that book converted China's George Washington, Dr. Sun Yat-sen, from pro-Marxist to pro-democratic and pro-capitalist.

It stopped Dr. Sun from completing the plan he had already initiated to impose Soviet-sponsored Communism upon the Chinese people.

It held back Communism in China for 25 years.

Here's the story — known only to historians and a few others.

NEARLY a dozen countries have had Communist regimes forced upon them by the pressure of Soviet armies. Only one country, while it was free and independent, ever invited the Soviet Union to come in and set up a Communist economy.

The country was China; the government was Dr. Sun Yat-sen's; the time was 1922-23 and the first four months of 1924.

Dr. Sun Yat-sen, father of the Chinese Republic, had a predisposition for Communism. He was a friend and admirer of Lenin's. The Soviet Union loaned his government several million dollars. At Dr. Sun's request, Chiang Kai-shek, his future brother-in-law, went to Moscow for indoctrination in Communism.

Lenin sent two agents to China to act as Dr. Sun's unofficial aides: Michael Borodin to guide his political decisions and General Bluecher to advise on military matters.

As the year 1924 began, Dr. Sun knew he had not much longer to live; he had cancer. His last will and testament would be a series of lectures to the Kuomintang laying down the philosophy by which he thought the Chinese people should live.

As Dr. Sun's political idol was Lenin, so his economic idol was Karl Marx. The first 12 lectures, one

a week, consisted of Dr. Sun's application to China of the principles of socialism.

Suddenly, with six lectures still to go, the series was suspended without explanation.

Into Dr. Sun's hands had come a book called *The Social Interpretation of History* by Dr. Maurice William. It had been mailed to him from the United States. He had never heard of the book. He did not know the sender whose name was lost to history when the wrapper was thrown in the wastebasket.

Dr. Sun opened the book and was intrigued by the challenge to his own ideas. He spent three months reading and re-reading it, arguing its conclusions with his associates and reorganizing his own thoughts.

In August, he resumed his lectures. The new series announced the reversal of his thinking. American democracy, not Marxism, he now declared, was the philosophy of hope and progress.

The angry embittered Communists walked out of the Kuomintang and started civil war. But Chiang Kai-shek, who succeeded Dr. Sun Yat-sen, set up the Nanking government. By 1927 he was in control of China. He held off the Communist hordes for more than two decades.

THE BOOK that changed Chinese history was written by a Russian-born New York dentist named Maurice William.

Raised in a family of socialists, Dr. William became a charter member of the socialist party in 1900. Intimate association with the socialist hierarchy led him reluctantly to the conclusion that socialists were escapists and phrasemongers. He decided to put his analysis in writing. Between dental appointments, he wrote his book.

He gave it the title "The Social Interpretation of History" and offered the manuscript to book publishers. None wanted it. In 1921, he printed it at his own expense. Book stores would not stock it. He gave away copies to anyone who would take them.

But someone — presumably a Chinese student — sent a copy to the head of the Chinese government.

Dr. William lived for four years in ignorance of the fact that his book had converted Dr. Sun. A friend who thought Dr. William knew all about it casually referred to an article about him in the magazine, *Asia*. The article had been printed a year before and told how a book *The Social Interpretation of History* written by Dr. Maurice William had transformed Dr. Sun from pro-Marxist to pro-democratic.

Dr. William was astounded. For further information, he went to the Chinese embassy which was equally amazed that he had never received recognition for his contribution. He was told that his book had been translated into Chinese, was a standard reference book on American democracy, and was published in China as "the book that changed Sun Yat-sen."

The Chinese government immediately made amends. Formal acknowledgement of the influence of the book upon Dr. Sun was made by his son, Sun Fo. Dr. William was elected a life member of the Kuomintang, the only American who has ever been so honored.

STRANGELY, fate had more in store for the dentist who was spending every spare moment studying Communist techniques. He was to be responsible for the exposure of the infiltration by American Communists into the Institute of Pacific Relations. First, the background.

After Chiang's victory in 1927, the Chinese Communists turned to guerrilla warfare. Then came the Japanese conquest of Manchuria and the formation of the Berlin-Tokio-Rome axis. Stalin took fright. A Japanese attack upon Siberia might endanger the entire Soviet structure. Chiang's armies were fighting the Japs. To strengthen them would be to divert and weaken Japan. The Chinese Red armies were not disbanded but they were ordered to lay low; defend Communist controlled areas against the Japs; maintain an armed truce vis-a-vis the Nationalists. The official connection of the Red Chinese with the Soviet Union was terminated. The "party line" in the United States switched to support of the Nationalists (Kuomintang) government. *The Daily Worker* began to acclaim Generalissimo and Madame Chiang as "leaders in the fight for peace and democracy and the liberation of the Chinese people." Stalin urged the United States to furnish arms and money to the Nationalist forces fighting the Japs in China.

But in 1943, the tide of the war turned. The farsighted Kremlin began planning its post-war conquests. *The Daily Worker* and all the fellow-travellers and sympathizers started to call the Chiangs "corrupt Fascist reactionaries oppressing the people of China." The new directives were known only to party leaders and to those anti-Communists who specialized in the study of Communist conspiracy.

Dr. William, one of the few who understood Communist strategy, heard Alfred Kohlberg, just back from China, tell about the many falsehoods being circulated about Chiang Kai-shek. Dr. William said:

"Don't you know the sources of those lies? They are being systematically spread by the Communist party."

Kohlberg expressed skepticism. He had heard them in our embassy in Chungking. He attributed them to public misinformation and ignorance.

"You are wrong," insisted Dr. William, "I can prove to you that the Communists are engaged in a deep-laid plot to replace Chiang Kai-shek with the Mao Tse-tung Communist regime. They are operating through the Institute of Pacific Relations which you support."

"I am on the finance committee of the Institute of Pacific Relations. How can you say it is the central source of these lies?"

"Do you read the IPR bulletins?" asked Dr. William.

"No, but I get them all. I never have time to read them."

"Get the back copies and just read the articles on China."

Kohlberg went to the office of the Institute of Pacific Relations and asked for the back copies. He was met with evasion. From other sources, he collected them all, went into seclusion and read every word put out during the previous seven years. Then he recognized that he and his associates in the Institute of Pacific Relations, which included some of America's richest and most notable businessmen, were dupes of the Communist conspiracy. He went into action, publicly demanding a cleanup.

After losing his fight for an impartial investigation, Kohlberg resigned from the Institute of Pacific Relations. He became the one-man "China Lobby" which has ever since been the target of Communist venom. He went to Washington and buttonholed Cabinet members, Senators and Representatives. So powerful was the Communist influence in press, radio and government that it took six years — until the debacle in China — to persuade a congressional committee to investigate the Institute of Pacific Relations. In 1951 and 1952, the Senate Judiciary Committee took 15 volumes of testimony, all confirming Dr. William's conclusion.

Had our leaders been willing to listen to the Kohlberg-William evidence in 1944, China might have been saved from conquest by "the agrarian reformers" who have since killed thousands of American boys.

THE AWAKENING of the American people came late, but the terrible price we have paid would have been much higher had there been no awakening at all.

The 600 million Chinese were added to the Communist world nearly a decade ago. Red China is on the aggressive in all directions except toward the North where lies her sponsor, supplier and partner, the Soviet Union. Serious as is now the danger to civilization, think of how much greater would be the danger if Stalin had — as he expected — gotten control of China 20 years sooner. It is quite possible that Japan, India, and the rest of Asia would all be today under the iron grip of the Kremlin.

The story of Dr. William is proof that the most explosive element on earth is an idea. Give circulation to an idea — as did the unknown person who mailed a book to Sun Yat-sen — and you can never tell what miracle it will perform.

Additional copies of this 2-page Article: single copy 20¢ (coins, not stamps); 10 copies \$1; 25 copies \$2; 100 copies \$5; 500 copies \$20; 1,000 copies \$30. In 5,000 lots \$25 per thousand.

HUMAN EVENTS was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. It is published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., and reports from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It is usually published in two parts: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article. \$10 per year.

TRIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS, new subscribers only: 5 weeks for \$1.

Article Section I**NINETY-SIX SENATORS RATED***By Their Voting Records on Critical Issues**By* JAMES L. WICK

Two Reuther-dominated organizations, the Americans for Democratic Action (ADA) and the Committee on Political Education (COPE), the political action arm of the AFL-CIO, aim to tell the 96 members of the Senate and the 435 members of the House how to vote. Nearly 200 members obey their orders. The docile members get financial support from the labor political committees; they are eulogized in the union newspapers whose circulation totals 17 million; the million-odd union shop stewards seek to regiment the rank-and-file union members into voting for them.

ADA and COPE have a single composite objective: to centralize political and economic power. They would have individuals give up much of their freedom and the states give up much of their sovereignty to the Federal Government which can easiest be controlled by a partnership of union bosses and Fabian "intellectuals."

ADA and COPE believe in Big Government, Big Labor, Big Spending, and Big Taxing. They profess sympathy for Small Business but their program would kill off small business. They are anti-anti-Communist. They urge continuously expanding foreign aid and they would have the U. S. give up much of its sovereignty to the United Nations.

Once a year, ADA publishes a box score of the votes on selected issues of all members of Congress. Knowing ADA principles, anyone who wants to audit the voting record of his own Congressman can simply send for the ADA box score (August issue, ADA World, 1341 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D. C.) and then if he believes in free enterprise, limited constitutional government and individual freedom, he can simply REVERSE the ADA ratings.

To the extent that space will permit, HUMAN EVENTS here does that. We give you the REVERSED-ADA ratings of all 96 members of the Senate. For the House of Representatives, we can give you only three groups: (1) The 18 Republican Representatives most OPPOSED to ADA; (2) the 19 Democrats most OPPOSED to ADA; (3) the 18 Republicans most FAVORABLE to ADA.

After studying these facts — and more that you can get by sending for the August ADA World — you should write to your Senators and your Representatives giving them your favorable or unfavorable opinion of their attitude. Left-wingers are energetic in writing to and talking to their Congressmen. Why shouldn't YOU be equally active in bringing your influence to bear upon your representatives in the Nation's Capital?

Senators — 75 to 100 per cent anti-ADA:

The 96 members of the United States Senate are rated by ADA on their votes on 14 measures.

Twenty-six Republicans and 4 Democrats voted 75 to 100 per cent *against* ADA. You may wish to consider them your "Roll of Honor" Senators.

The 26 Republicans:

Williams, Del.	Cotton, N. H.	Bennet, Utah
Welker, Idaho	Malone, Nev.	Watkins, Utah
Schoeppel, Kan.	Martin, Iowa	Flanders, Vt.
Bricker, Ohio	Dworshak, Idaho	Knowland, Calif.
Curtis, Neb.	Capehart, Ind.	Dirksen, Ill.
Hruska, Neb.	Mundt, S. D.	Martin, Pa.
Jenner, Ind.	Barrett, Wyo.	Millikin, Col.
Goldwater, Ariz.	Hickenlooper, Iowa	Carlson, Kan.
Bridges, N. H.	McCarthy, Wis.	

The 4 Democrats:

Byrd, Va.	Frear, Del.	Robertson, Va.
Eastland, Miss.		

Senators — 60 to 75 per cent anti-ADA:

Seven Republicans and 6 Democrats voted 60 to 75 per cent against ADA. You might choose to rate them as "Satisfactory" Senators.

The 7 Republicans:

Saltonstall, Mass.	Case, S. D.	Beall, Md.
Butler, Md.	Allott, Col.	Thye, Minn.
Smith, N. J.		

The 6 Democrats:

Smathers, Fla.	McClellan, Ark.	Russell, Ga.
Stennis, Miss.	Holland, Fla.	Daniel, Tex.

Senators — 25 to 60 per cent anti-ADA:

Twelve Republicans and 9 Democrats voted against ADA 25 to 60 per cent of the time. You can hardly consider them as anything else than "Unsatisfactory" Senators.

The 12 Republicans:

Young, N. D.	Ives, N. Y.	Aiken, Vt.
Potter, Mich.	Bush, Conn.	Bender, Ohio
Duff, Pa.	Purtell, Conn.	Case, N. J.
Payne, Me.	Smith, Me.	Kuchel, Calif.

The 9 Democrats:

Wofford, S. C.	Ellender, La.	Anderson, N. M.
Ervin, N. C.	Bible, Nev.	Long, La.
Johnston, S. C.	George, Ga.	Scott, N. C.

Senators — zero to 25 per cent anti-ADA:

Two Republicans and 29 Democrats voted against ADA only 25 per cent of the time or less. Your designation for them probably will be the "Roll of Dishonor" Senators.

The 2 Republicans:

Wiley, Wis.	Langer, N. D.
-------------	---------------

The 30 Democrats:

Fulbright, Ark.	Magnuson, Wash.	Gore, Tenn.
Sparkman, Ala.	Kennedy, Mass.	Humphreys, Ky.
Monroney, Okla.	Clements, Ky.	Laird, W. Va.
Hill, Ala.	Hayden, Ariz.	Kefauver, Tenn.
Chavez, N. M.	Symington, Mo.	Neely, W. Va.
Kerr, Okla.	Mansfield, Mont.	Hennings, Mo.
Johnson, Tex.	Jackson, Wash.	Lehman, N. Y.
O'Mahoney, Wyo.	Pastore, R. I.	McNamara, Mich.
Green, R. I.	Humphrey, Minn.	Morse, Ore.
Douglas, Ill.	Murray, Mont.	Neuberger, Ore.

Four of these Democrats cast only one vote out of 14 against ADA. They were: Jackson, Pastore, Murray and Gore.

Eleven of the Democrats voted 100 per cent as demanded by ADA. They are: Humphreys (Ky.), Laird, Kefauver, Neely, Hennings, Lehman, McNamara, Humphrey, Douglas, Neuberger and Morse.

House of Representatives

In the House of Representatives, the 18 GOP Representatives with the best record (in every case 100 per cent anti-ADA) are:

Budge, Idaho	Siler, Ky.	Berry, S. D.
Mason, Ill.	Johansen, Mich.	Alger, Tex.
Wilson, Ind.	Short, Mo.	Smith, Wis.
Gross, Iowa	Gwinn, N. Y.	Hoffman, Mich.
Jensen, Iowa	Jonas, N. C.	Clevenger, Ohio
Smith, Kan.	Coon, Ore.	Hoffman, Ill.

The 19 Democrats with the best voting record (those in the first two columns voting 100 per cent anti-ADA; those in the last two columns voting right in all but one instance) are:

Jones, N. C.	Tuck, Va.	Herlong, Fla.	Dies, Tex.
Gentry, Tex.	Smith, Va.	Haley, Fla.	Harrison, Va.
Dowdy, Tex.	Flynt, Ga.	Shuford, N. C.	Jennings, Va.
Robeson, Va.	McMillan, S. C.	Dorn, S. C.	Long, La.
Abbitt, Va.	Burleson, Tex.	Fisher, Tex.	

Going now to the other extreme, the 18 Republicans most FAVORABLE to ADA (those in the first column voting 100 per cent pro-ADA; those in the second and third columns casting only one vote against ADA) are:

Wolverton, N. J.	Baldwin, Calif.	Auchinchloss, N. J.
Canfield, N. J.	Seely-Brown, Conn.	Kean, N. J.
Fulton, Pa.	Morano, Conn.	Corbett, Pa.
Baker, Tenn.	Patterson, Conn.	Pelly, Wash.
Holmes, Wash.	Sadlak, Conn.	Horan, Wash.
Tollefson, Wash.	Robsion, Ky.	
Westland, Wash.		

Almost all Northern Democrats, especially those from the big cities, voted 100 per cent or nearly 100 per cent as dictated by ADA.

Additional copies of this 2-page Article: single copy 20¢ (coins, not stamps); 10 copies \$1; 25 copies \$2; 100 copies \$5; 500 copies \$20; 1,000 copies \$30.
In 5,000 lots \$25 per thousand.

HUMAN EVENTS was founded in 1944 by Frank C. Hanighen. It is published weekly at 1835 K Street, N.W., Washington 6, D. C., and reports from Washington on politics, business, labor and taxes. It is usually published in two parts: a 4-page news section and a 4-page article. \$10 per year.
TRIAL SUBSCRIPTIONS, new subscribers only: 5 weeks for \$1.